

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,006	03/16/2004	Hidehiko Suzuki	2803.70110 3324	
7590 05/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			DUDEK, JAMES A	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

到

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/802,006	SUZUKI, HIDEHIKO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A. Dudek	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examin		La Francisca			
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>			ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 54-107752 (752).

Per claim 1, 752 teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising a pair of substrates [1], a liquid crystal inserted in an annular seal between said pair of substrates [figure 4b, reference no. 7], and a threshold pattern provided in a frame portion between said annular seal and a pixel display area [seal 6 in figure 4b], said threshold pattern having an opening connecting said pixel display area with an area provided with liquid crystal [inherent] and located between said annular seal and said threshold pattern. For evidence that the liquid crystal leaks into the region between the seals see US 5037185 A

Per claim 2, 752 teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 1, wherein said threshold pattern extends substantially in parallel with at least one side of said annular seal [see figure 4].

Per claim 3, 752 teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 1, wherein said threshold pattern annularly extends substantially in parallel with said annular seal with a gap from said annular seal [see figure 4].

Per claim 4, 752 teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 1, wherein said threshold pattern extends substantially in parallel with at least one side of said annular seal with a gap from at least one side of said annular seal, and a closed space is formed between said threshold pattern and at least the one side of said annular seal except for said opening [see figure 4].

Per claim 6, 752 teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 1, wherein the liquid crystal is dripped on one substrate, and the annular seal has no injection hole [seal 7 lack an injection hole and spec teaches dropping].

Application/Control Number: 10/802,006 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/06 are most because of the new ground of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at &66-217-2197 (toll-free).

U1

James A. Dudek Primary Examiner

Årt Unit 2871